App F IX 4

Previous References

1944 Municipal

File No 42-44-
Serial Nos 136, 137

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos Two

10

Part B for March-45

SUBJECT

Election of Rao B. N Keshava Iyengar as President of The Tumkur TCC

The Commissioner of Co

Proceedings dated 6 3 45-

To Notifies

2/4

[illegible] the DC Tirukkoilur

68 C-1/66/44 & 27-1-45

Representation against the election of Mr. B N Keshava Iyengar B A [illegible] as President of the Tirukkoilur Taluk Board

[illegible] draft of explanation

[illegible] for approval

Mra 29/1

1/2) In view of the telegram just received from Messrs Siddaramanna and Chikkalingannna the two members of the Tirukkoilur Taluk Board contesting the validity of the election of Mr. Keshava Iyengar, a further report from the D C Tirukkoilur will be awaited.

3) Submitted

4) In view of the telegram 30/1 just received, no action need be taken

5) The report of enquiry from D.C. Tirukkoilur will be awaited

Law dept for [illegible] 31/1/43

Cus 30/1-43

31/7) no [illegible] has so far been received — submitted Ref from 6 before

Mra 31/1

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of | Page

No petition objecting to the validity of the election of Mr Usmani as the President of the Pakistan Industrial Law Association has been received.

As regards the petition to be preferred to the D.C. Dadar, which is stated to have been done, he will cause enquiries to be made & send up a report of enquiry to the first

3/1/45

Law Officer

3/1/45

10) Mr. Thakkar's letter has been filed with reference to the action on telegram.

3/1

11) Mr. Desai

3/1

12) A draft letter is put up for approval.

Mr. Desai 31/1

13) Approved 31.1.45

14) A report from the D.C. has since been received

Mr. Desai 1/2

15) Put up

2/2/45

From The D.C. Thana

No A6 c 1844/Cal & 31-1-45

Election of President to the 3/5065/L
Trunkan Town Municipal Council

stating that a petition has been filed contesting the validity of election

Petn from Messrs G M Sridharamanna and two other Municipal members of Trunkan Town contesting the validity of election

16/ The D C Trunkan at reports that petition has been filed by certain members in this respect and that he would send a report to go with his opinion In the meanwhile, a petition from the above gentlemen has been received direct here it is for orders of the petition may be sent to the D C Trunkan for enquiry and report
Kept here awaiting the report from the D C, as promised by him

17/ subject with reference to para 16 supra
Mea 3/2 18) The petitioner may present for report, along with two one which been stated to have received Last day 3/2 from Mysore

6 vss

*/As a report has since been received, the petition has no 6/2 been sent 5/2

19) Circ 3 2/2
20) D Supt 3/2 from Mysore

5173 M

Election of president to the Tumkur Town Mul el

7

22) The result of the D C Tumkur on the petition of ~~Reddy~~ Sh G H Siddaramanna and others, Mul councillors of Tumkur contesting the election of Sh Keshava Iyengar as the president of the local Mul el. is subject for perusal the D C, for the arguments advanced by him & the result upholds the election of Sh Keshava Iyengar

23) The Law Section may however be consulted on the points raised by the petitioners contesting the election in this respect

24) subject with reference to paras 18 to 21 of the petitioners letter dated 6/25) Another petition put up 6/7/25

5173 / 5190 Election of President to the Trunkey Town Bd el

Continuation Sheet — File No / Current No — Page

petn dd 6.2.45 from Mr. Siddaramanna and others in the matter

2(a) The petitioners allege that they have not been given ~~full~~ opportunity by the D.C to give complete evidence in support of their objection against the election of Mr. Kesava Iyengar, as President of the Bd el and they, therefore, pray that for a hearing before the petition is disposed of by the D.C.

2(b) The prayer of the petitioners is submitted for orders with reference to para 2 supra. As to proceedings the D.C's report is flagged. Law dept 6/2/45 6/L

2(c) Vide on the points framed

7-2-45

Sub Lt

2(a) One Mr B K Kesava Iyengar was elected as ~~the~~ President of the Trunkey Town Bd el. Three Bd councillors of the Trunkey Town Bd el submitted a petition to the D.C Trunkey objecting the election of Mr Kesava Iyengar on the ground of corrupt practices on the part of ~~[illegible]~~

M Keshava Iyengar According to the petitioners, the corrupt practice on the part of respondent being that he who was also a candidate for the president-ship ~~dropped~~ of the previous term withdrew his candidature for the same on the promise of two other ~~ex~~ councillors namely Messrs T N Kempahonnah and K Narayana Setty who were interested in the president elected then, to support him (the respondent) for the next election — the election held now Thus the Respondent, as per the allegation, got support promised by Messrs T N Kempahonnah and K Narayana Setty and elected as president ~~his candidature~~ By this, it is said, he committed a corrupt practice according to law

3) The D C Tumkur at whose conducted an enquiry in the matter is of opinion that the promise of support taken by the Respondent does not amount to corrupt practices, as they did not induce the voters to vote and refrain from voting at the election

The D C says that the mere

statement that he secured support from certain municipal councillors is not proper ground for contesting the election

31) The counsel for the petitioners at the time of enquiry by the D C was prevented from bringing new grounds beyond the grounds already referred to in the petition in support of the objection raised by the petitioners in this respect the D C ~~found~~ has no grounds to proceed further or to let in any more evidence and he, therefore, upholds the election of Sri Kesava Iyengar

32) In their appeal to Govt against ~~the orders of the~~ the findings of the D C, the petitioners pray for a hearing before the petition is disposed of by the Govt

33) submits for orders with reference to para 28 supra

Sd/-

34) Please keep up with a copy of the rules relating to the election of Presidents Corporations of Municipalities

Sd/-

35) The election for the office of the non-official President of the Municipal Council was held

On 22 1 1945 Messrs B N Kesava Iyengar and B. C. Rangaswamy were nominated as Candidates. At the meeting held, both the Candidates got an equal number of votes of ten each and when lots were drawn, B. N Kesava Iyengar's name came up & he was declared elected as President. Appeal of first was also against Three

On 29 1 1945, Three Municipal Councillors viz Messrs G. Venkataramanna, J. Chikkarangappa & M Munuswamappa, filed an Objection Petition before the Sub-Collector raising certain objections to the election of Mr Kesava Iyengar as the President of the Bangalore Municipal and requesting that an enquiry be held & the report of enquiry be submitted to first for orders. They have also put in applications to be Represented before the first Thro' Counsel Messrs G Ramachandrappa & Mr M-P Somasekharappa

Vide rule 7 of the Election rules for Presidents & Councils

Messrs only one point on which the election has been objected to is that the successful Candidate Mr Kesava Iyengar has committed Corrupt practice according to law by securing the promise of support from two municipal Councillors viz Messrs Kempahanumaiah & K Narayanaswamy who were interested in the present Election by Mr Kesava Iyengar being elected in 1944

A statement made by these two Municipal Councillors was published in the Nathional Bharath dated 29 1 1945 to the above effect.

addressed as evidence. 9

The D-e held an enquiry into the facts of the case on 3 & 4 Sept & the petitioner & respondent were heard thro' their counsel

The D-e holds that the grounds for believing that a corrupt practice has been committed by the respondent should have been specifically mentioned in the petition as required by rule 7 in the first instance itself and that there is no indication of a corrupt practice having been committed in connection with the present election. It is coupled with something that is alleged to have happened during a similar election in the year 1934. The D-e considers that the 'pressure of support' should be deemed to be in the nature of a party pledge given by the members of the particular party at a meeting freely & voluntarily and that it does not fall under the category of corrupt practice as laid down in Sec 20 (1) (c) of the Nigam Tumpathy Act of 1933 The D-e therefore declares that the allegations are without any substance & that the election of the Kesavanadapuram may be affirmed by the Court.

The election was held on 22 / 1945
The petition objecting to it was lodged on
23 / 1945 whether it is within seven
days as a limit for consideration, as
required by the rule. If the 22nd January
1945 is taken into consideration, then
it will not be within the period prescribed
under the rule and would then be dismissed as

A / 37) This is for orders.

In regard to the grounds of the application,
it has to be stated that it does not,
as required by the rule, specifically set forth
the nature of the corrupt practices and
particulars in support which was reference
to the election of 10th year 1945 cannot
be brought under corrupt practices as
explained in Sec 20 (11) of the Act.
There is confusion in the minds of the petitioners as to what happened in the election
of 1945 for 1945 The Dist Judge may
be accepted in order dismissed accordingly

B / 38) The petitioners have also requested that they
should be given an opportunity for producing
evidence in support of their objections which
the Counsel may be heard by the Court
We have decided may perhaps be rejected to
provide an opinion of, in the face allegation, any
corrupt practices has been committed in the
the petition is within time (vide Section
for orders A B R D

39 Lawyer for petitioner
12-2-45

5773 / 5192 — 6615 / 1944

Submitted-

With ref to paras 36-39 of the note supra, the points for consideration are —

1) whether the petition contesting the election was filed within 7 days before the DC (Rule 5 (v))

2) whether any corrupt practice within the meaning of S 20 (a) of the Town Municipalities Act took place and the election is thereby vitiated

Regarding point (1)

the election was held on 22.1.45 The petition was filed on 29.1.45 Excluding the day of election (the a day may be omitted at either end) the petition appears to be in time

Regarding point (2)

it is alleged that ~~present~~ per the respondent had secured the votes of two members to vote for him in 1945 and withdrew his candidature in 1946 on that ground Kind of is invited to the report of the DC (Form F)

Election of President Tuvalu Tuvalu HC d 6615/pet

The petitioners have in support of their case produced copy of Matautia mem d 27/1/15 containing a statement of the two members who visited the respondent at his office in connection with the matter of petition and could be taken which could be discussed at a party meeting since the voting was free & voluntary. It appears it cannot be considered as corrupt practice within the meaning of s 20 (1) of the Tuvalu Elections Act Submitted for ruling

NKH
3/2/15

From the facts as recorded it is clear that the petition is in time

The only question is whether there was any 'corrupt practice' as defined in sec 7 of the Act (reproduced in the Election Petition) in the allegations in the petition

The allegation is that when the President for 1964 was elected, the present elected President was a member of the Cabinet & he withdrew his candidature in favour of the 1964 President on the promise made by both members that they would support his candidature at the present election in 1965, & because of this support of the present member was elected as President Thus

is no evidence in support of this except an
alleged publication in the Kanada paper called
'Illustrated Bhumi'

The D C has sent his report in this matter
& his opinion is that there is clearly no
evidence of corrupt practices as defined in
Sec 123(4) of the R P Act

A person who "holds out any
promise of individual profit-" to a candidate
with the knowledge & consent of that candidate
commits corrupt practice

Numerous facts in regard to which
that the present case (the B N Kasva Reddy)
withdrawal by candidate in favour of the Ram Rao
in the presence of witnesses who said that they
would support the B N Kasva Reddy's candidature for
1945 & he withdrew in favour of the Ram Rao in
that presence, the Kasva Reddy withdrew in 1945
from the News paper Report was seen that the
members here & there words word supported
the Kasva Reddy's candidature, ~~of the~~ with
his recall that he was elected Such thing
happen at all having no party politics There
is nothing to show that the Kasva Reddy exercised
any undue influence or threat or bribed
these persons or accompany these persons votes

I also agree with the D C &
hold that there was no corrupt
practice at all in the case

WD 0726—GPB—25 000—15 9 44

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of | Page

I attempt that [illegible] to allegations in the Petition are [illegible] statements neither specific nor are they proved - hence the Petition may be dismissed

3
3/3/65

as the allegations in the Petition are not specific & they do not amount to "corrupt practice"; he be cos right in Shetty not endorse perhaps on the ground that irrelevant endorse may be left in

3
3/3/65

The allegations made do not amount a corrupt practice, even if they are proved in the provisions of S 20(4) of the Town Municipalities Act or the General principles of law of corrupt practices There was unnecessary for the O.C to hold an elaborate enquiry by going into evidence The enquiry by him is insufficient in view of the allegations which are frivolous

[illegible]
3-3-65

3
3/3/65

C F 69]

Continuation Sheet

File No / Current No

Electing President to the Trustees

of Time Ind et Page 5190 / 2

The above Opinion of the Law Section is for appropriate perusal & may be accepted & the election of Mr B N Khawas Agarwal as the next President of the Institution submitted notified accordingly

Draft for approval

Lawsuit for [illegible]

The Law Opinion may be accepted & the election notified as

Mr B N Khawas Agarwal

5-3-65

from

6-3-65

Secretary

[illegible]

To,

The compiler, Reg

L 8844 & 6-3-65

vote for the election

B.K.M. 2/4

POST OFFICE TELEGRAPHS
FOR L S G & P H
Dt 5-3-65
No 6791

16 4962

No A6 C 1844/44-45 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Datedm Tumkur, 27th Jan' 45,



To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore

Re Election of a non-official President for Tumkur Town Municipal Council

---

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, in original, the records received from the President Town Municipal Council, Tumkur, on the above subject, I have the honor to state as follows -

The term of the present non-official President Mr M V Rama Rao, whose election was approved in Govt Notification No L 8055/M1 42-4347 dated 5-2-1944 expires on the afternoon of 4th February 1945 as the tenure of office is limited to one year according to G O No L 6248-9/M1 36-36-65 dated 25-2-1937

A calendar of events was duly published for holding the Presidential election Two candidates viz Messrs B N Keshava Iyengar,B A , B L , and B C Nanjundaih were nominated At the special General Meeting held on 22-1-1945 both the candidates got ten votes each and lots were drawn when Mr B N Keshava Iyengar's name came up He was accordingly declared duly elected

I request that the election of Mr B N Keshava Iyengar as elected non-official President of the said Municipality may be kindly approved by Government and his name may be notified in the official

Official Gazette as required under Section 13 of the Town Municipalities Act of 1933

A copy of the minutes of the special General Meeting held by the Tumkur Town Municipal Council in this behalf is enclosed

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT

NOTICE

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this telegram

Charges to pay

Office Stamp

Number Office | Date | Service Instructions

TO Law Secretary
Mysore Govt Bangalore

Petition contesting validity Tumkur municipal Presidents election filed today in High Court Counsellors Upon Deputy Commissioner Pray Staying further proceedings

= Siddaramanna Chikkanna Counsellor.

N B.—The name of the Sender if telegraphed is written after the text.

Eden Press, Calcutta—No 18 (5170/B-16)—10,000,000.

19

TUMKUR TOWN MUNICIPALITY

No H C 144 / 44-45
Encl
From

Dated Tumkur 23rd Jan 1944

M V RAMA RAO ESQ B A LL B
PRESIDENT TOWN MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
TUMKUR

No. A 8 - To 17032
23 JAN 1944
TUMKUR DIST TUMKUR

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
TUMKUR

Subject

Sir

I have the honour to state that the term of the present elected President of the Council expires on 7-2-1945 and therefore the election of the next President was held on 22-1-45 Copy of the calendar of events issued on 3-1-45 is herewith enclosed Two candidates Viz , Mr B N Keshava Iyengar, B A , B L , and Mr B C Manjundiah were nominated for the election which was held at 4 p m on 22-1-45 Voting by ballot was recorded and on counting it was found that both candidates had got ten Votes each Lots were drawn and Mr B N Keshavaiengar's name came up Accordingly he has been declared elected Approval of Government may kindly be obtained to the election

Copy of the proceedings of the meeting is also appended

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President,
Town Municipal Council,
TUMKUR

K N R

Proceedings of the Special General Meeting of the Town Municipal Council, Tumkur held on Monday the 22nd January 1945 at 4 p m in the Sri Krishnarajendra Town Hall, Tumkur

20

Members

Messrs

1 M V Rama Rao, Esq , B A ,LL B , President.
2 T Mohiyuddin Khan, Esq , Vice-President.
3 T N Hutchappa, Esq ,
4 B V Suryanarayana Rao, Esq ,
5 K Narayana Setty, Esq ,
6 B C Nanjundiah, Esq ,
7 K H Kariabasappa, Esq ,
8 G N Siddaramanna, Esq ,
9 T N Kempahonniah, Esq ,
10 M Murishamappa, Esq ,
11 T Chikkarudranna, Esq ,
12 D N Aranthanarayana Setty alias Ramappa, Esq ,
13 K R Nanjundiah, Esq ,
14 B N Keshava Iyengar, Esq , B A , B L ,
15 B Mallappa, Esq ,
16 K Ramachandra Setty, Esq ,
17 R Venkata Rao, Esq ,
18 Yajaman Honnuriah, Esq ,
19 Dr T L N Pandit, District Medical Officer,
20 B Krishna Iyengar, Esq , B Sc , B E Executive Engineer

Subject -

Re the Election of a President to the Tumkur Town Municipal Council

Proceedings commenced at 4 p m

Election Rules were read out in the meeting and explained in Kannada The calendar of events as well as the nomination papers were scrutinised and were found to be in order The Ballot Box was found empty and then locked Ballot papers were issued and Voting by Ballot was recorded

Messrs B Krishna Iyengar and Dr T L N Pandit were appointed tellers On counting the Votes the following number was recorded in favour of each candidate -

Mr B N Keshavaiengar Ten Votes
Mr B C Nanjundaiah Ten Votes

On drawing lots, Mr B N Keshava-Iyengar's name was drawn

Mr B N Keshava Iyengar was declared duly elected

Sd/ M V Rama Rao,
President 22/1/45

" C O P Y "

Sd/ Illegible
President,
Town Municipal Council,
TUMKUR

Office of the President,
Town Municipal Council,
Dated, Tumkur the 3rd Jan 1945

21

Memo

The term of the Non-Official Elected President of the Town Municipal Council, Tumkur whose election was approved by Government in their Order No L.P.O.S5/Mi.42-43-47 dated 5-2-44 expires on 7-2-1945. It is hereby notified that a meeting of the Municipal Council, will be held on Monday the 22nd January 1945 at 4 p.m. at the Sri Krishnarajendra Town Hall, Tumkur for electing a President to the Municipal Council in accordance with the Rules, for a period of one year from 7-2-1945

President, 3/1
Town Municipal Council,
TUMKUR

CALENDAR OF EVENTS FOR THE ELECTION OF PRESIDENT

1 Issue of notice of the above meeting (Proviso to Rule one)	3-1-1945 (Wednesday)
2. Nomination of candidates to the Presidentship by at least two non-official Councillors in the prescribed form (Rule 2).	15-1-1945 ~~13-1-1945~~ (Saturday at 5 p m) Monday
3 Notifying the names of candidates in the Municipal Office (Rule 3)	16-1-1945 (Tuesday at 5 p m)
4. Meeting of the Municipal Council for the election of the President.	22-1-1945 (Monday at 4 p.m)

---

1. Copy forwarded with compliments to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, Tumkur for favour of information
2. Copy to all the Municipal Councillors with nomination forms
3. Copy to the Notice Board of the Municipal Office

President, 3/1
Town Municipal Council,
TUMKUR

To,

The D e Tumkur dt-

Sir,

With reference to your letter No A6 e 1844/44 dt 27-1-45 re the election of President to the Tumkur Town Mal dt, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of telegram dt 30-1-45 received from Messrs Sreeramanna and Chikka Nadranna, Mal members stating that a petition challenging the validity of the election of Mr C N Keshava Iyengar as PP has been filed before the Dist Jdge and requesting that in case such a petition has been received, enquiry may be made in the matter and a report submitted to Govt very early.

I have etc

Secy to Govt

To send copy (illegible)

may be taken of this application and necessary proceedings

23

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
No A6 C 1844/44-45 Tumkur District,
Tumkur, dated, the 31st January 1945

To
The Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Subject Election of a non-official President to Tumkur Town Municipal Council

Adverting to this office letter of even number dated the 27th January 1945 requesting that the election of Mr B N Keshava Iyengar as President of the Tumkur Town Municipal Council may be approved and notified in the Gazette, I have the honour to state for the information of Government that a petition signed by three members of the said Town Municipal Council was presented to me on 29 1 1945 contesting the validity of the election I am holding an enquiry into the matter as required under Rule 8 of the Rules regulating the election of Municipal Presidents and on conclusion of the same, a final report of the proceedings together with my opinion will be forwarded to Government

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

N Mattanna
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

BI/-



Before the Honourable the Minister for Local-Self-Government in M y s o r e

Bangalore

Petitioners 1 G M Siddaramanna
2 T Chikkarudranna
3 M Lingaramappa
Members of the Town Municipal Council
Tumkur

Vs

Respondent: B N Keshava Iyengar,
Municipal Councillor, Tumkur

Petitioners humbly beg to state as follows -

1 Petitioners as well as Respondent are elected members of the Town Municipal Council, Tumkur, reconstituted by Government in 1944

2 Respondent was a candidate at the election to the office of President of the said Council which was held on 22 1.1945 He secured ten votes as against ten votes cast in favour of the contesting candidate B C Nanjundaiya Selection was made by drawing lots and he was declared elected President

3. Petitioners have presented to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, Tumkur, on 29 1.1945, a petition contesting the validity of the said election on the specific ground of corrupt practice on the part of the Respondent ***

4 Copy of the petition presented to the Deputy Commissioner, together with the copy of the Kannada Daily "Matru Bhoomi" dated 27 1 45, containing the Press Statement issued above the signatures of two Municipal Councillors, Viz., T h Kempegowda and K Narayana Setty, in support of the ground alleged in the petition, are also enclosed herewith for ready reference

5 Petitioners understand, however, that the Deputy Commissioner informed their Counsel when the petition was presented that he had already submitted the record of the election for approval of the Government Petitioners beg to submit that section 7, of the Rules Regulating the election of Presidents of Town Municipal Councils requires the Deputy Commissioner to keep the record with him for seven days before submission to Government for approval of the election

6 Petitioners pray, that the Deputy Commissioner be directed to hold an enquiry into the validity of the election as required by section 8 of the Rules Regulating the election of Presidents of Town Municipal Councils and to submit the report of the enquiry for orders of Government

7 Petitioners further pray that the records pertaining to the election be kindly returned to the Deputy Commissioner to enable him to hold the enquiry

W S Ramachandra
adv for pet

✓ Hbk Rudraiah
✓

We, the petitioners hereinabove

hereinabove named, do solemnly affirm the truth of the contents of this petition to the best of our knowledge, information and belief on this the 31st. day of January 1945

Forwarded with compliments to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District for a report in the matter vide his letter No A 6 e 1844/44 dt 31-1-1945.

By order

for Law Secretary to Govt.

Before the Deputy Commissioner.

Tumkur District.

T u m k u r

Election Petition No 1944-45.

Petitioners 1 G L Siddaramanna
2 T Chikkarudraiah
3.M Lingaiah
Members of the Town Municipal-
Council, Tumkur.

Respondent B N Keshava Iyengar,
Municipal Councillor, Tumkur

Under section 7 of the Rules regulating the election of Presidents of Town Municipal Councils, Petitioners beg to state as follows:-

1 Petitioners as well as respondent are elected members of the Town Municipal Council, Tumkur, which was reconstituted by Government in 1944

2 Respondent was a candidate at the election to the office of Presidentof the said Council which was held on 22nd January 1945 Ten votes were secured by him as against ten votes cast in favour of the contesting candidate B C Nanjundaiya Selection of the respondent was made by drawing lots and he was declared elected President on 22 1 1945

3/ Respondent was also a candidate among others for the Presidentship of the said Council in the year 1944 He then withdrew his candidature in favour of the present President who was elected unopposed in 1944, after securing promise of support for himself at the election to be held in 1945 from two Municipal Councillors viz T.N Kempahonnaiah and K Narayana Setty, who were interested in the present President being elected in 1944.

4 Respondent has by his conduct at the elections in 1944 and 1945 committed a corrupt practice according to law

Petitioners therefore pray that an enquiry be held into the validity of the election of the Respondent and that the election be set aside.

Sd C M Siddaramanna(In Kannada)
Sd. T Chikrudranna
Sd M Munieswamappa(In Kannada)

We, the petitioners hereinabove named, do solemnly affirm the truth of the contents of this petition to the best of our knowledge, information and belief at Tumkur on 29 1.1945

Sd. C M.Siddaramanna(In Kannada)
Sd T Chikrudranna
Sd M.Munieswamappa (In Kannada)

Copy
V.S.Ramachandra
advocate



79

Before the City Civil Court at Bangalore

In the Court of ——— **Bangalore**

O.S. / ~~Appeal~~ / Petition } No of 194 194

PLAINTIFF APPELLANT PETITIONER | DEFENDANT, RESPONDENT OPPONENT

Siddaramaiah & others | **Vs** | ———

I / We the petitioner ——— abovenamed do by these presents retain A S Ramachandra Rao B A LL B Advocate to appear for and conduct the ——— above case on MY / OUR behalf to judgment

2 I / WE further empower him to execute any decree or order that may be passed in MY / OUR behalf and to receive from Court such sums of money as I / WE may be entitled to get and to grant receipts therefor

3 I / WE also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts done by the said advocate ——— in so doing

Dated 31/24 1945

ACCEPTED
A S Ramachandra
Advocate for

Signed before me and personally known to me

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

No-A6 C 1844/44-45 Tumkur District,
Encls Seven only Tumkur, dated the 5th February 1945

From

N MADHAVA RAO Esq ,B A ,
Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District
TUMKUR

To

C NARAYANASWAMY NAIDU Esq ,B A ,B L ,
Legal Remembrancer & Secretary to Government
Law Department BANGALORE

Sir

Subject Election of non-official President to Tumkur Town Municipal Council

---

In continuation of my letter No A6 C 1844/44-45 dated the 31st January 1945 on the above subject I have the honour to enclose, for the orders of Government, the proceedings of the enquiry held by me into the petition contesting the election of Mr B N Kesava Iyengar as President of the Tumkur Town Municipal Council, together with my opinion as required by Rule 8 of the Rules for the election of Presidents Vice-Presidents etc of Town Municipalities

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

A petition under Rule 7 of the Rules for the election of Presidents Vice Presidents & members of Town Municipal councils signed by three members of the Tumkur Town Municipal council viz Messrs G M Siddaramanna T Chikkamadaya & M Muniswamappa was presented in this office on 29-1-45 contesting the election of Mr. B N Kesavalyengar as President of the said Municipal council at the election held on 22-1-45 The petition was enquired into yesterday at which the petitioners were represented by their counsel Mr K Gundachar and the respondent by Mr. H Venkataramayya Advocate Mr. Venkataramayya filed a written statement replying to the points raised in the petition and both the counsels addressed arguments & were heard

The allegation in the petition is that the respondent committed a corrupt practice in respect of the election held on 22-1-45 as well as the one held in 1944 by withdrawing his candidature for the Presidentship of the council at the latter election on securing a promise of support for himself at the former election from two members of the said Municipal Council viz

3) Messrs T N Thimmaiah and K. Narayana Setty in supporting the alleged promise by the latter persons to the respondents a copy of the Kannada daily 'Mathru Bhoomi' dated 27-1-45 containing a statement to the Press by the said Municipal councillors was filed and Mr Gundachar said that he was prepared to prove the contents of the same and also to adduce further evidence. Mr H Venkatarangaya replying to the above arguments denied the allegation of corrupt practice & stated that the petition was ab initio not maintainable for the reasons that the alleged ground for contesting the election was not specific and that even if the alleged promise of support is taken for granted it does not amount to corrupt practice. Mr Gundachar requested for time to elaborate the objection raised in the petition & to render it more specific but Mr Venkatarangaya opposed the request on the ground that the allegation disclosing a corrupt practice should have been made specifically in the petition in the very first instance as required by the rule and that the petitioners cannot be allowed an opportunity at this stage to introduce anything which has not already been men-

It is necessary therefore to consider at first the objections raised by the counsel for the respondent before proceeding further with the enquiry or allowing evidence to be led.

With regard to the objection that the ground for contesting the election was not been specifically alleged it is seen that the same is sufficiently set forth in paras 3 & 4 of the petition In the first place the allegation were according to the wording of the petition itself apply to the election held in 1944 as well as to the one held in 1945 and not specifically to the latter alone Beyond a mere statement that the respondent committed a corrupt practice by securing promises of support for himself from two Municipal Councillors nothing specific has been alleged to show how the act was either corrupt or illegal It would appear from the statements of the two Municipal Councillors in question appearing in the newspaper filed in support of the allegation that the respondent was present at a meeting held even before the election of 1944 at which he was prevailed upon to withdraw his candidature for Presidentship of the Council and that election was

a promise of support by the members of the party then assembled to his candidature at the election to be held in 1945. On the face of the allegation in paragraph 4 it has reference to the election of 1944 and not specifically to the present election except of course inferentially. All the acts or the petitioners own alleged took place more than a year ago and to treat it as a ground for challenging the present election held after more than a year seems a rather far-fetched argument. Even granting this it does not necessarily follow as has been assumed by the petitioners that the persons who are stated to have promised their support to the respondent in 1944 kept their word at the election held in 1945 and cast their votes for the respondent himself. That two out of the ten votes secured by the respondent at the present election are those given by the particular Municipal Councillors who are stated to have promised their votes to the respondent a year ago is largely a matter of presumption and the petitioners cannot be expected to have any knowledge

34

of how the voting has actually gone at the election as voting was by ballot. An objection based on the one hand on an alleged promise reported in a newspaper to have been made more than a year ago and on the other on a mere presumption as to the result is not one which can be taken seriously or regarded as a specific ground for contesting an election.

The second objection to the consideration whether on the facts stated in the petition there is any indication of a corrupt practice having been committed in connection with the election. The general principle of all elections is that voting should be free & voluntary. What is prohibited in law is illegal practices intimidation false personation and promise of individual benefit none of which have been alleged in the petition against the respondent. The giving corrupt practice is the corrupt inducement of the voter to vote or refrain from voting which has not been alleged. The question in this case - whether the alleged promise of support would if proved amount to corrupt practice. Assuming that the respondent did secure a promise of

my/ support for himself at the election from the persons named in the petition could such an act by itself be construed as corrupt practice? I think not unless the act in question is accompanied by any of the ingredients prohibited under Section 20 (4) of the Mysore Town Municipalities Act when alone it could be deemed to be a corrupt practice From the very facts alleged the words appear that the "promise of support" in question is in the nature of a party pledge given by the members of the particular party at a meeting freely and voluntarily and not with any motive of individual profit or benefit It seems to be more a word of honour and the giving of such promise, ~~[illegible]~~, by the respondent even if true would far from being a corrupt practice seem to me to be a perfectly legitimate way of canvassing on the other hand it would seem on the very allegation that the respondent in being persuaded to forgo his chances of election in 1944 himself was more a victim than a bene-

fraudulent under the so-called 'understanding" To seek to bring any such promise of support within the meaning of the term corrupt practice it would be necessary to specifically allege that it was secured in any of the ways prohibited under S 29 (4) of the Myson Town Municipalities Act which has not been done in the petition. In the absence of any such illegal or unlawful intention being alleged specifically the mere statement that the respondent secured support from some of the Municipal Councillors cannot be a proper ground for contesting the election

On a careful consideration of the petition & the arguments on either side I hold that the petition does not initially show that any corrupt practice has been committed I do not see any justification therefore to proceed further with the enquiry or to call in any evidence —

57

8

The objections taken by the petitioners to the election as to my mind worthy any substance & may therefore be ignored and the election of Mr. N Kesavalyengar as President which I consider to have been properly held approved by Government

Tuticorin
4 1 1945

N Madhavan
Deputy Commissioner.





Before the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur

Election Petition No 1944-45

Petitioners:- 1 G M Siddaramanna
2 T.Chikkarudranna
3 M Lunisamappa

Members of the Town Municipal Council,
Tumkur

Respondent - B V Keshava Iyengar,
Municipal Councillor, Tumkur

Under section 7 of the Rules regulating the election of Presidents of Town Municipal Councils, Petitioners beg to state as follows:-

1 Petitioners as well as respondent are elected members of the Town Municipal Council, Tumkur, which was reconstituted by Government in 1944

2 Respondent was a candidate at the election to the office of President of the said Council which was held on 22nd January 1945 Ten Votes were secured by him as against ten votes cast in favour of the contesting candidate B C Nanjundaiya selection of the respondent was made by drawing lots and he was declared elected President on 22 1 1945

3 Respondent was also a candidate among

39

others for the Presidentship of the said Council in the year 1944 He then withdrew his candidature in favour of the present President who was elected unopposed in 1944, after securing promise of support for himself at the election to be held in 1945 from two Municipal Councillors Viz T N Kempahonnaiah and K Narayana setty, who were interested in the present President being elected in 1944

4 Respondent has by his conduct at the elections in 1944 and 1945 committed a corrupt practice according to law

Petitioners therefore pray that an enquiry to be held into the validity of the election of the Respondent and that the election be set aside

Vchik-Rudramma

We, the petitioners hereinabove named, do solemnly affirm the truth of the contents of this petition to the best of our knowledge, information and belief at Tumkur on 29.1.45

Vchik-Rudramma

K Parthasarathy
Counsel for petitioners
29.1.45

29th January 1945



Delivered
Despatched
Registered
Annexed

[redacted]

ಮಾನ್ ರಾಜಾ ಕೆಡಬ ಗರುಡಾಚಾರ್, ಬಿ.ಎ, ಎಲ್‌ಎಲ್ ಬಿ,
ಇವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮೆ

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಹೊಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ. ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಕ್ಕೆ ಡಿಕ್ರಿನೊಬಲಿಗೆ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಕ್ಕೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ದಸ್ತೈವು ಗೈರುಹಾಜರಾಂತು ರದ್ದು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗಕ್ಕೆ ಡಾವಾಸ್ಟಾಂತು ಪ್ರೊಸೆಸ್ ಜಾರಿ ಆಗಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಟ್ಟು ಡಿಕ್ರಿನೊಬಲಗು ವಗೈರೆ ಇತರ ವಿಷಯಾವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಮೊಬಲಗುಗಳನ್ನೂ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹಾ ನಮಗೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾವಾಗಲೀ ಅಥವಾ ಮುಖ್ತಾರ್‌ರ ಮಖ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲೀ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಮಾಡಿ ಇದ್ದು ಪಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ

ದಾಖಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದೆ

ಈ ಮೋಕ್ತಾರುನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯ ಸ್ಥರು
ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜುಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ
29/1/45

ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ

Legal Practitioner
29/1/45

ತೀರ್ಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ಬಗೆ

41



Before the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur

E P No of 44-45

Petitioners - G I Siddaramanna & Others

Vs

Respondents - B N Narasinga Iyengar

Memo filed by petitioners

Petitioners beg to file a copy of the Kannada Daily "Nathrubhoomi" dated 27 1 1945, containing a press statement above the signatures of the Municipal Councillor T N Kempathimmaiah and K Narasinga Setty regarding the Presidential election in support of the allegations made in the election petition

Petitioners further pray that the Deputy Commissioner be pleased to hold an Enquiry as required by section 6 of the Rules regulating the election of President of Tumkur Municipal Councils

Tumkur
30 1 45

Counsel for the petitioners.

30 1 1945

Pay Counted
Rs 2
30/1
Copy of this petn
Memorandum
& 27/1/45
Rs 2

42

Before the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District, Tumkur.

E P No of 44-45

Petitioners G L Siddaramanna & Others,

V/s

Respondents B N Keshava Iyengar

Name filed by petitioners -



A Court fee of annas Two for issue of Notice to Respondent is herewith paid. Copy of the petition is annexed. The complete address of the respondent is furnished below

Counsel for-
Petitioners -
9/6/45

B N Keshava Iyengar,
Advocate & Municipal-
Councillor, Son of
B N Keshava Iyengar
Sowcar

412

b

Before the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur
Election Petition c/44/45

Petitioners: Sri M Sadda Ramanna
and two others

Vs

Respondent B N Keshava Iyengar

Filed by M H Venkatachar
advocate for respondent

The respondent begs to file the following objections

1 The allegations made in para 1 of the petition are true

2 The allegations made in para 2 of the petition are true

3 The respondent was a candidate along with messrs M V Ramarao and B V Suryanarayana Rao for the presidentship of the said Council in the year 1944 and that he and mr B V Suryanarayana Rao withdrew their candidatures

The other allegations in para 3

45

of the petition are absolutely false and Vexatious

4 The allegations in Para 4 of the petition are false There is no allegation made in the petition itself about any Corrupt practice either Committed by the respondent or Committed during the present election

5 That the petition is untenable in law also and therefore there is no ground to set aside the election

6 Wherefore the respondent Prays that the petition be dismissed with Costs

B N Keshava Iyengar
Respondent 3 2 1995



IN THE COURT OF THE Deputy Commissioner OF TUMKUR

1944 —1945 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ Petition No 7

ವಾದಿ Petitioner

VS

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ Respt

M/s Siddaramanna and 2 others

Bn Keshava Murthy

ಮಾ|| ರಾ|| ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್ ಹೆಚ್ ವೆಂಕಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ, ಬಿ ಎ, ಬಿ ಎಲ್, ಯವರಿಗೆ

Respondent ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ

ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಪೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೂ ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಮೊಲಗಿಗೆ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೂ ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀತಿ ಗೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ದಾವಾಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಧಕ್ಕ ಮತ್ತು ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಲಗು ವಗೈರೆ ಇತರ ವಿಧವಾದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಮೊಲಗುಗಳನ್ನೂ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹಾ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವಾಗಲೀ ಅಥವಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತಾವ ಲಾಯರ್‌ಗಾಗಲೀ ನನಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಒಪ್ಪನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ

ತಾ ೩ 3 2 45 } ರುಜು Bn Keshava Murthy

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತಾರುನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯ ಸ್ಥರು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ

ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ

41

41 Siddaramanna

Date

Advocate for

Gupta Power Press Bangalore



BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA

OF MYSORE BANGALORE

5190

Election petition No of 44-45

Petitioner -- (Versus) Respondent --

G I Siddaramanna
Landi Merchant Tumkur

2) T Chikkarudranna
Landi Merchant Tumkur

3) L Muniswamappa
Contractor Tumkur

Members of the Town
Municipal Council
T u m k u r

B L Keshava Iyengar
Municipal Councillor
T u m k u r

Cus
6 3.46

The Petitioners beg to state as follows

1) The petitioners are Members of the Town Municipal Council of Tumkur as reconstituted in 1944

2) The petitioners filed an application before the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur District under Rule 7 of the Rules relating to the Election of Presidents of Town Municipal Councils contesting the election of the Respondent as President of the Tumkur Town Municipal Council at the Election held on the 22nd January 1945 Their ground for questioning the election was that the votes of two Municipal Councillors namely Messrs T N Kempahonniah and K Narayana Setty were recorded in favour of the Respondent in consideration of the fact that at their request the said respondent withdrew his candidature for Presidentship of the Council at the Presidential Election held in 1944 on the strength of their promise to vote for him at the Presidential election to be held in 1945 and that the votes of the said Councellors were thus secured by corrupt practices

The Deputy Commissioner fixed 3/2/1945 for enquiry and issued to the petitioners the notice dated 1/2/45 requiring them to be present at the enquiry to substantiate their petition The respondent filed a written statement therein he denied the petitioners' allegations It was therefore necessary that evidence should be taken regarding the allegations of facts constituting corrupt practice

3) The petitioners were ready and willing to adduce evidence to substantiate the said allegations They also tendered a copy of "Matrubhoomi" dated 27th January 1945 wherein the said Messrs Ramanahonniah and Narayana Setty distinctly stated that they recorded their votes in favour of the respondent in pursuance of a promise given by them to his withdrawing his candidature for Presidentship in 1944

4) The Deputy Commissioner however refused to take any evidence and after hearing some arguments reserved his orders

5) The petitioners understand that on 5/2/1945 the Deputy Commissioner forwarded to the Government a report regarding their petition The petitioners are unaware of the purport of this report The petitioners however submit that it is not possible to dispose of the contentions and allegations regarding the election without taking evidence in support thereof

5) The petitioners are anxious that they should be afforded an opportunity of having their case presented before the Government through their counsel in support of their contentions that the case can be disposed only duly after giving them an opportunity of adducing the necessary evidence in support of their case

It is prayed that the Government be pleased to pass orders directing the Deputy Commissioner to - afford the petitioners an opportunity of adducing evidence regarding the allegations contained in their petition and to make a fresh report to the Government for final orders and that the orders of the Government regarding the same may be furnished to them via Bangalore City

Bangalore City
-/2/1945

Petitioners.

COURT FEE — TWO RUPEES

K GARUDACHAR
B A LL B
Legal P act t oner
TUMKUR

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore Bangalore

IN THE COURT OF THE OF TUMKUR

Election Petition of 44-45

No ... ... of 194 —194

Pl ff
P t n
Appellant
Compl n t

Vs.

D f d t
R p d t
Respondent
Accd

1) G M Siddaramaiah
2) T Channaiah
3) M Mumtaz Ali

B N Raghavendra

M/s M. P Somasekhara Rao

ಮಾll ರಾll ಕಡಬ ಗರುಡಾಚಾರ್, ಬಿ ಎ, ಎಲ್ ಎಲ್‌ಬಿ,

Party ಇವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಲರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಪೈಸಲ್‌ಹೊಂದ ತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಮೊಬಲಗಿಗೆ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀತಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ದಾವಾಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಪ್ರೊಸೆಸ್‌ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಭತ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ವಗೈರೆ ಇತರ ವಿಧವಾದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಮೊಬಲಗುಗಳನ್ನೂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹಾ ತಮಗೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವಾಗಲೀ ಅಥವಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲೀ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ

× ಜಿ ಲಿಂಗಶೆಟ್ಟಿಕಾಮುದ್ರೆ

ರುಜು × Y Chikkarudramma

× ಯಲಂಚಿವಾನಿಶೆಟ್ಟಿ

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತೈರುನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರು
ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇವಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜುಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ

Illegible
Legal Practitioner
Tumkur

ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ
M P Somasekhara Rao

ತಾರೀಖು 6 2 45

Legal Practitioner

ಗುಪ್ತಾ ಪ್ರೆಸ್ ತುಮಕೂರು

G F S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form*)

Mt 42-44-137 51

12 copies

L-8844

6/3/1945

Notification

under section 23 (2)(c) of the Nyasa Town Planning Act, 1933, Mr B N Keshava Iyengar, B A Bl is elected President of the Tanganyika Town and Country Planning Board

Govt Law Secy

for,

Secretary, Ministry of Publication in Part III - 3 of the Gazette & supply of 5 copies thereof to the Secretariat & the D C Tanganyika

Memo L 8845 6/3/45 -

copy of the above is forwarded to the D-C Tanganyika for information with reference to the correspondence ending with his letter No A 6 c 1844/4 dt 5-2-45

for Secretary

WD 0 22 GPB— 500—16 2 37